

**CALIFORNIA REGIONAL WATER QUALITY CONTROL BOARD
COLORADO RIVER BASIN REGION**

ORDER NO. R7-2003-0061
NPDES NO. CA0104345

NATIONAL POLLUTANT DISCHARGE ELIMINATION SYSTEM (NPDES) PERMIT
AND
WASTE DISCHARGE REQUIREMENTS
FOR
SUNSET MUTUAL WATER COMPANY, OWNER/OPERATOR
SANITARY WASTEWATER TREATMENT PLANT AND
WASTEWATER COLLECTION AND DISPOSAL SYSTEMS
El Centro – Imperial County

The California Regional Water Quality Control Board, Colorado River Basin Region finds that:

1. On April 9, 2002, Sunset Mutual Water Company (hereinafter referred to as the discharger), 1886 Haskell Drive, El Centro, CA 92243, submitted an application to update its Waste Discharge Requirements (WDRs) and to renew its permit to discharge wastewater under the National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES). The application is to update its WDRs and NPDES permit for the wastewater collection and disposal systems and the Wastewater Treatment Plant (WWTP), located at 1860 Haskell Drive, El Centro, CA 92243.
2. The discharger owns and operates the wastewater collection, treatment and disposal system (hereinafter referred to as the facility) and provides sewerage service to a small community of 250 residents. The wastewater treatment plant, has a treatment capacity of 0.025 million gallons-per-day (MGD).
3. The final effluent is discharged into the Lotus Drain 3-D #1, located in the NW ¼ of Section 1, T16S, R13E, SBB&M. The effluent in the Lotus Drain flows about 50 miles via the Central Drain and Alamo River before entering the Salton Sea.
4. Wastewater from the small community gravity flows to the influent wet-well, where it is pumped to a package treatment plant consisting of extended aeration and clarification processes. The wastewater is treated to secondary treatment standards.
5. The small amount of sludge collected at the plant is deposited periodically in the sludge drying bed located next to the treatment plant.
6. The discharger has been subject to an NPDES Permit and WDRs adopted in Board Order No. 97-033 (NPDES No. CA0104345) adopted May 28, 1997, which allows for discharge to the Lotus Drain 3D #1.
7. Discharges of less than 1.0 MGD are classified as Minor by the United States Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA). Accordingly, Regional Board staff has classified this discharge as a Minor Discharge.
8. The discharger reports that there are no known industrial wastes subject to regulation under the NPDES Pretreatment Program being discharged to the WWTP.
9. This Board Order updates the WDRs to comply with the current laws and regulations as set forth in the California Water Code and the California Code of Regulations.

10. The Water Quality Control Plan for the Colorado River Basin Region of California (Basin Plan), as amended to date, designates the beneficial uses of ground and surface waters in this Region.
11. The designated beneficial uses of waters in the Imperial Valley Drains are:
 - a. Fresh Water Replenishment of Salton Sea (FRSH)
 - b. Water Contact Recreation (REC I)^{1,2}
 - c. Non-Contact Water Recreation (REC II)¹
 - d. Warm Water Habitat (WARM)
 - e. Wildlife Habitat (WILD)
 - f. Preservation of Rare, Threatened or Endangered Species (RARE)³
12. Federal regulations for storm water discharges require specific categories of facilities which discharge storm water associated with industrial activity (storm water) to obtain NPDES permits and to implement Best Conventional Pollutant Technology (BCT) and Best Available Technology Economically Achievable (BAT) to reduce or eliminate industrial storm water pollution.
13. The discharger states there are no storm water discharges from this site. Therefore, a NPDES Permit for storm water discharges would not be necessary for this facility.
14. In accordance with Section 15301, Chapter 3, Title 14 of the California Code of Regulations, the issuance of these WDRs, which govern the operation of an existing facility involving negligible or no expansion of use beyond that previously existing, is exempt from the provisions of the California Environmental Quality Act (Public Resources Code, Section 21000 et seq.)
15. The action to adopt an NPDES Permit is exempt from the provisions of Chapter 3 of the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA: Public Resources Code Section 21000, et. seq.), pursuant to Section 13389 of the California Water Code.
16. The proposed discharge is consistent with the anti-degradation provisions of 40 CFR 131.12 and SWRCB Resolution No. 68-16. If terms of the permit are met, the impact on water quality will be insignificant, including potential impacts on aquatic life, which is the beneficial use most likely affected by the discharge.
17. The USEPA adopted the National Toxics Rule (NTR) (40 CFR § 131.36). The NTR requires effluent limitation for all pollutants that are or may be discharged at a level that will cause or have the reasonable potential to cause, or contribute to an in-stream excursion above a narrative or numeric water quality standard.
18. The USEPA published the adopted California Toxics Rule (CTR) (40 CFR §131.38). The CTR promulgates new criteria for both human health protection and protection of aquatic life. New numeric aquatic life criteria for 23 priority toxic pollutants and numeric human health criteria for 57 priority toxic pollutants are listed. In addition, the CTR contains a compliance schedule provision, which authorizes the State to issue schedules of compliance for new or revised NPDES permit limits based on the federal criteria when certain conditions are met.
19. On March 2, 2000, the SWRCB adopted the Policy for Implementation of Toxics Standards for Inland Surface Waters, Enclosed Bays and Estuaries of California (California Toxics Policy). This Policy establishes (1) implementation provisions for priority pollutant criteria promulgated by the

¹ Unauthorized Use.

² The only Rec 1 usage that is known to occur is from infrequent fishing.

³ Rare, endangered, or threatened wildlife exists in or utilizes some of these waterway(s). If the RARE beneficial use may be affected by a water quality control decision, responsibility for substantiation of the existence of rare, endangered, or threatened species on a case-by-case basis is upon the California Department of Fish and Game on its own initiative and/or at the request of the Regional Board; and such substantiation must be provided within a reasonable time frame as approved by the Regional Board.

USEPA through the NTR and CTR and for priority pollutant objectives established by the Regional Water Quality Control Boards in their water quality control plans; (2) monitoring requirements for 2, 3, 7, 8- tetrachlorodibenzo-p-dioxin (TCDD) equivalents; and (3) chronic toxicity control provisions.

20. Pursuant to a California Water Code Section 13383 request for documentation on priority pollutant discharges, the Regional Water Quality Control Board received priority pollutant monitoring data from Sunset Mutual Water Company on April 25, 2002. Based on the Reasonable Potential Analysis methodology in the State Implementation Plan (Policy for Implementation of Toxics Standards for Inland Surface Waters, Enclosed Bays, and Estuaries of California), the following priority pollutants have been found to have reasonable potential to cause or contribute to an excursion above water quality objectives. The monitoring results indicate reasonable potential for copper, mercury and nickel.
21. During a routine inspection on April 3, 2002, Sunset Mutual Water District Operators informed Regional Board Staff regarding the future annexation of the Sunset residential area by the City of El Centro. Regional Board staff were informed of Sunset Mutual Water District's intentions of tying into the City of El Centro's municipal services upon completion of a nearby residential development.
22. On March 20, 2003, the Regional Board informed Sunset Mutual Water Company of the calculated effluent limitations for Copper, Mercury, and Nickel pursuant to priority pollutant monitoring data. The effluent limitations for Copper, Mercury, and Nickel are listed in Effluent Limitations A.6.
23. On March 25, 2003, the Regional Board contacted the City of El Centro regarding the annexation and construction timelines for the tying in of wastewater treatment services for the Sunset residential area.
24. On March 31, 2003, Sunset Mutual Water Company submitted a letter to the Regional Board indicating that the Sunset Development has been annexed by the City of El Centro; however, the changeover to City services are not complete. Sunset Mutual Water Company stated that LAFCO documentation finalizing the annexation of the residential area indicates that City of El Centro wastewater services will be tied in no later than August 2003. Furthermore, Sunset Mutual Water Company stated that upon final hookup with the City of El Centro, the existing Sunset Mutual Water Company's WWTP will be demolished and the discharge of treated wastewater to the Lotus Drain 3D #1 will cease.
25. The treatment facility informed the Regional Board that it is infeasible to comply with the new effluent limits pursuant to the implementation of the CTR. The discharger stated that the time frame necessary to implement corrective actions necessary for the discharge from the wastewater treatment plant to comply with the new effluent limits will extend beyond the projected time to connect to the public sewer.
26. The discharger is not able to consistently comply with the new effluent limitations for copper, mercury, and nickel.
27. Corrective actions by the discharger are necessary in order for the discharge from the wastewater treatment plant to comply with the new effluent limits pursuant to the implementation of the CTR.
28. The treatment facility was informed by letter on July 6, 2000, that all Imperial Valley sewage treatment facilities discharging undisinfected municipal wastewater into surface water would be required to begin disinfecting the treatment plants effluent by June 30, 2003.

29. Pursuant to Findings No. 21, 23 and 24, disinfection effluent limitations will not be incorporated in the NPDES permit update. Should the development project or City of El Centro fail to complete the final hookup of wastewater services by June 25, 2004, the discharger shall submit an NPDES application and file a complete Report of Waste Discharge in accordance with Title 23, California Code of Regulations, at least 180 days in advance of such date as an application for issuance of a new Board Order.
30. Effluent and receiving water limitations in this Board Order are based on the Federal Clean Water Act, Basin Plan, SWRCB's plans and policies, USEPA guidance and regulations, and best practicable waste treatment technology.
31. Effluent limitations and toxic and pretreatment effluent standards, established pursuant to Section 208(b), 301, 302, 304, and 307 of the Federal Clean Water Act (CWA) and amendments thereto that are applicable to this discharge are implemented in this Board Order.
32. Regional Board staff prepared a Statement of Basis regarding the facility. The Statement of Basis is incorporated into this permit by this reference.
33. The Board has notified the discharger and all known interested agencies and persons of its intent to renew and update NPDES Permit and WDRs for said discharge, and has provided them with an opportunity for a public meeting and an opportunity to submit comments.
34. The Board, in a public meeting, heard and considered all comments pertaining to this discharge.

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, that Board Order No. 97-033 is terminated, and in order to meet the provisions contained in Division 7 of the California Water Code and regulations adopted thereunder, and the provisions of the Federal Clean Water Act, and regulations and guidelines adopted thereunder, the discharger shall comply with the following:

A. Effluent Limitations

1. Representative samples of wastewater discharged to the Lotus Drain 3D #1 from the treatment systems shall not contain constituents in excess of the limits indicated below. The treatment system discharging to the Lotus Drain 3D #1 shall be monitored at a location, which is acceptable by the Regional Board's Executive Officer or his designee.

<u>Constituent</u>	<u>Unit</u>	30-Day Arithmetic Mean <u>Discharge Rate</u> ⁴	7-Day Arithmetic Mean <u>Discharge Rate</u> ⁵
--------------------	-------------	---	--

⁴ 30 Day Mean - The arithmetic mean of pollutant parameter values of samples collected in a calendar month as specified in the Monitoring and Reporting Program.

20° C BOD ₅ ⁶	mg/L ⁷	30	45
	lb/day ⁸	6.3	9.4
Total Suspended Solids (TSS)	mg/L	30	45
	lb/day	6.3	9.4
Total Dissolved Solids (TDS)	mg/L	2,000	2,500
	lb/day	420	520

- The 30-day monthly average percent removal of the pollutant parameters BOD₅ and TSS shall not be less than 85 percent.
- The hydrogen ion (pH) of the effluent shall be maintained within the limits of 6.0 to 9.0.
- There shall be no acute or chronic toxicity in the treatment plant effluent nor shall the treatment plant effluent cause any acute or chronic toxicity in the receiving water. All waters shall be maintained free of toxic substances in concentrations which are toxic to, or which produce detrimental physiological responses in human, plant, animal, or indigenous aquatic life. Compliance with this objective will be determined by use of indicator organisms, analyses of species diversity, population density, growth anomalies, or bioassays of appropriate duration or other appropriate methods specified by the Regional Board.
- Wastewater discharged to the Lotus Drain 3D #1 shall not exceed these effluent limits. These limits are calculated based on monitoring results and using the California Toxic Rule and the Policy for Implementation of Toxics Standards for Inland Surface Waters, Enclosed Bays and Estuaries of California for water quality based effluent limits:

Constituents	Unit	Date Effluent Limit Becomes Effective	Average Monthly Effluent Limit ⁹	Maximum Daily Effluent Limit ⁹
Copper (interim)	ug/L	July 5, 2003	36.0	36.0
Copper (final)	ug/L	June 25, 2004	2.39	4.80
Mercury (interim)	ug/L	July 5, 2003	0.088	0.102
Mercury (final)	ug/L	June 25, 2004	0.051	0.102
Nickel (interim)	ug/L	July 5, 2003	16.0	16.0
Nickel (final)	ug/L	June 25, 2004	6.72	13.5

B. Receiving Water Limitations

- Receiving water limitations are based upon water quality objectives contained in the Basin Plan. As

⁵ 7 Day Mean - The arithmetic mean of pollutant parameter values of samples collected in a calendar week (Sunday – Saturday) as specified in the Monitoring and Reporting Program.

⁶ BOD₅ - Biochemical Oxygen Demand

⁷ mg/L - milligrams per Liter

⁸ pounds per day (Calculated as flow rate (mgd) x 8.34 x Concentration (mg/L))

⁹ Compliance with the Average Monthly Effluent Limit and Maximum Daily Effluent Limit shall be determined as described in Section 2.4.5 Compliance Determination (Policy for the Implementation of Toxics Standards for Inland Surface Waters, Enclosed Bays, and Estuaries of California).

such, they are a required part of this permit. The discharge shall not cause the following in Lotus Drain 3D #1:

- a. Depress the concentration of dissolved oxygen to fall below 5.0 mg/L. When dissolved oxygen in the receiving water is already below 5.0 mg/L, the discharge shall not cause any further depression.
 - b. The presence of oil, grease, floating material (liquids, solids, foam and scum) or suspended material in amounts that create a nuisance or adversely affect beneficial uses.
 - c. Result in the deposition of pesticides or combination of pesticides to be detected in concentrations that adversely affect beneficial uses.
 - d. Aesthetically undesirable discoloration or odors in the receiving water.
 - e. A significant increase in fungi, slime, or other objectionable growth.
 - f. Increase turbidity that results in affecting beneficial uses.
 - g. The normal ambient pH to fall below 6.0 or exceed 9.0 units.
 - h. Impact the receiving water temperature, resulting in adversely affecting beneficial uses.
 - i. Result in the deposition of material that causes nuisance or adversely affects beneficial uses.
 - j. The chemical constituents to exceed concentrations that adversely affect beneficial uses or create nuisance.
 - k. Toxic pollutants to be present in the water column, sediments or biota in concentrations that adversely affect beneficial uses or that produce detrimental physiological responses in human, plant, animal, or aquatic life.
 - l. Taste or odor-producing substances to impart undesirable tastes or odors to fish flesh or other edible products of aquatic origin or to cause or otherwise adversely affect beneficial uses.
2. This discharge shall not cause a violation of any applicable water quality standard for receiving waters adopted by the Regional Board or the SWRCB as required by the Federal Clean Water Act and regulations adopted thereunder. If more stringent applicable water quality standards are promulgated or approved pursuant to Section 303 of the Federal Water Pollution Control Act or amendments thereto, the Regional Board will revise and modify this Permit in accordance with such more stringent standards.

C. Prohibitions

1. Bypass, overflow, discharge or spill of untreated or partially treated waste is prohibited.
2. The discharge of waste to land not owned or controlled by the discharger is prohibited.
3. Discharge of treated wastewater at a location or in a manner different from that described in Finding Nos. 2 through 5 above, is prohibited.

4. The bypass or overflow of untreated wastewater or wastes to the Lotus Drain 3D #1 is prohibited, except as allowed in the Standard Provision No. 13, as contained in the Standard Provisions for National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System Permit (hereinafter Standard Provisions), dated October, 1990
5. The discharger shall not accept waste in excess of the design treatment capacity of the disposal system.
6. The discharge shall not cause degradation of any water supply.

D. Specifications

1. The treatment or disposal of wastes from the facility shall not cause pollution or nuisance as defined in Section 13050(l) and 13050(m) of Division 7 of the California Water Code.
2. The permitted 30-day monthly average daily dry weather discharge flow shall not exceed 0.025 MGD.
3. Public contact with non-disinfected wastewater shall be precluded through such means as fences, signs, and other acceptable alternatives. The non-disinfected wastewater is not approved for off-site distribution. Conspicuous signs shall be posted in a prominent location in each area where non-disinfected wastewater is stored on-site.
4. Objectionable odors originating at this facility shall not be perceivable beyond the limits of the wastewater treatment and disposal area.
5. No irrigation with, or impoundment of, undisinfected secondary recycled water shall take place within 150 feet of any domestic water supply well.
6. Bioassays shall be performed to evaluate the toxicity of the discharged wastewater in accordance with the following procedures unless otherwise specified by the Regional Board's Executive Officer or his designee:
 - a. Bioassays shall be conducted on a sensitive fish species and an invertebrate species as approved by the Regional Board's Executive Officer. *Pimephales promelas* (fathead minnow) and *Ceriodaphnia dubia* (water flea) are suggested test species that may be utilized. The bioassays shall be conducted in accordance with the protocol given in EPA/821-R-02-013 – Short Term Methods for Estimating the Chronic Toxicity of Effluent and Receiving Waters to Freshwater Organisms, 4th Edition, and EPA/821-R-02-012 - Methods for Measuring the Acute Toxicity of Effluents and Receiving Waters for Freshwater and Marine Organisms, 5th Edition or subsequent editions.
 - b. The bioassay test shall be performed as specified in the Monitoring and Reporting Program.
7. Any chronic toxicity test that exceeds 2 chronic toxicity units (TU_c) or a three-sample median¹⁰ (consecutive samples) that exceeds 1 TU_c may trigger an accelerated monitoring frequency. In addition, any acute toxicity test results showing high toxicity may trigger an accelerated monitoring frequency. High acute toxicity is defined as follows:
 - a. Less than 80% survival when acute toxicity is calculated from the results of the chronic toxicity test (only for *Pimephales promelas*), or

¹⁰ 3-Sample median is defined as follows: The middle value of 3 consecutive samples arranged from the low value to the high value.

- b. Less than 90% survival when acute toxicity is calculated from the results of the acute toxicity test, or
 - c. Results of acute toxicity t-test for 100 percent effluent concentration that is reported as failed.
8. Accelerated monitoring frequency shall consist of performing three toxicity tests in a six (6)-week period following the first exceedence of the chronic or acute toxicity triggers.
9. A Toxicity Identification Evaluation (TIE) may be triggered if testing from the accelerated monitoring frequency indicate any of the following:
- a. A chronic toxicity of 2 TU_c or greater; or
 - b. The three-sample median exceeds 1 TU_c; or
 - c. Result of acute toxicity t-test for 100 percent effluent concentration that is reported as failed; or
 - d. Less than 80% survival when acute toxicity is calculated from the results of the chronic toxicity test (only for *Pimephales promelas*); or
 - e. Less than 90% survival when acute toxicity is calculated from the results of the acute toxicity test.
10. The TIE shall be conducted to identify and evaluate toxicity in accordance with procedures recommended by the USEPA which include the following:
- a. Toxicity Identification Evaluation: Characterization of Chronically Toxic Effluents, Phase I, (USEPA, 1992a);
 - b. Methods for Aquatic Toxicity Identification Evaluations: Phase I Toxicity Characterization Procedures, Second Edition (USEPA, 1991a);
 - c. Methods for Aquatic Toxicity Identification Evaluations: Phase II Toxicity Identification Procedures for Sampling Exhibiting Acute and Chronic Toxicity (USEPA, 1993a);
 - d. Methods for Aquatic Toxicity Identification Evaluations: Phase III Toxicity Confirmation Procedures for Samples Exhibiting Acute and Chronic Toxicity (USEPA, 1993b)
11. If repeated toxicity tests reveal toxicity, the discharger may be required to conduct a Toxicity Reduction Evaluation (TRE). The discharger shall take all reasonable steps to control toxicity once the source of the toxicity is identified. A failure to conduct required toxicity tests or a TRE within a designated period shall result in the establishment of numerical effluent limitations for chronic toxicity in a permit or appropriate enforcement action. Recommended guidance in conducting a TRE include the following:
- a. Toxicity Reduction Evaluation Guidance for Municipal Wastewater Treatment Plants, August 1999, EPA/833B-99/002;

- b. Clarifications Regarding Toxicity Reduction and Identification Evaluations in the National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System Program dated March 27, 2001, USEPA Office of Wastewater Management, Office of Regulatory Enforcement.
12. The Sunset Mutual Water Company Wastewater Treatment Facility shall be protected from any washout or erosion of wastes or covering material, and from any inundation, which could occur as a result of floods having a predicted frequency of once in 100 years.
13. The discharger shall take specific actions as indicated in the following table to achieve compliance with all requirements of the Board Order:

Task	Priority Pollutant	Milestone	Completion Date	Milestone Description
1	Copper	1	June 25, 2004	Connection to public sewer and termination of NPDES permit.
2	Mercury	1	June 25, 2004	Connection to public sewer and termination of NPDES permit.
3	Nickel	1	June 25, 2004	Connection to public sewer and termination of NPDES permit.

E. Provisions

1. This Board Order shall serve as a NPDES Permit pursuant to Section 402 of the Federal Clean Water Act, as amended, and shall become effective at the end of 10 days from the date of the hearing when this Board Order was adopted by the Regional Board, provided the Regional Administrator, USEPA has no objections.
2. This Board Order expires one (1) year from date of adoption, on June 25, 2004, and the discharger shall submit an NPDES application and file a complete Report of Waste Discharge in accordance with Title 23, California Code of Regulations, at least 180 days in advance of such date as an application for issuance of a new Board Order.
3. The discharger shall comply with all conditions of this Board Order. Noncompliance constitutes a violation of the Federal Clean Water Act and Porter-Cologne Water Quality Control Act, and is grounds for enforcement action; for Permit termination, revocation and reissuance, or modification of waste discharge requirements; or denial of a Permit renewal application.
4. The discharger shall comply with "Standard Provisions for National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System Permit" dated October 1990 (attached).
5. The discharger shall comply with Monitoring and Reporting Program No. R7-2003-0061, and future revisions thereto, as specified by the Regional Board's Executive Officer.
6. The discharger shall ensure that all site-operating personnel are familiar with the content of this Board Order, and shall maintain a copy of this Board Order at the site.
7. The discharger's wastewater treatment plant shall be supervised and operated by persons possessing certification of appropriate grade pursuant to Section 3680, Chapter 26, Division 3, Title 23 of the California Code of Regulations. The discharger shall ensure that all operating personnel are familiar with the contents of this Board Order.

8. The discharger shall, at all times, properly operate and maintain all systems and components of collection, treatment and control which are installed or used by the discharger to achieve compliance with the conditions of this Board Order. Proper operation and maintenance includes effective performance, adequate process controls and appropriate quality assurance procedures. This provision requires the operation of backup or auxiliary facilities or similar systems when necessary to achieve compliance with the conditions of this Board Order. All systems both in service and reserved, shall be inspected and maintained on a regular basis. Records shall be kept of the inspection results and maintenance performed and made available to the Regional Board upon demand.
9. Facilities shall be available to keep the plant in operation in the event of commercial power failure.
10. Unless otherwise approved by the Regional Board's Executive Officer, all analyses shall be conducted at a laboratory certified for such analyses by the State Department of Health Services. All analyses shall be conducted in accordance with the latest edition of "Guidelines Establishing Test Procedures for Analysis of Pollutants", promulgated by the USEPA.
11. The discharger shall report any noncompliance that may endanger human health or the environment. The discharger shall immediately report orally information of the noncompliance as soon as (1) the discharger has knowledge of the discharge, (2) notification is possible, and (3) notification can be provided without substantially impeding cleanup or other emergency measures, to the Regional Board office and the Office of Emergency Services. During non-business hours, the discharger shall leave a message on the Regional Board office voice recorder. A written report shall also be provided within five (5) business days of the time the discharger becomes aware of the incident. The written report shall contain a description of the noncompliance and its cause, the period of noncompliance, the anticipated time to achieve full compliance, and the steps taken or planned, to reduce, eliminate, and prevent recurrence of the noncompliance. The discharger shall report all intentional or unintentional sewage spills in excess of 1,000 gallons occurring within the facility or collection system to the Regional Board office in accordance with the above time limits.
12. The discharger shall provide a report to the Regional Board when it determines that the treatment plant's average dry weather flowrate for any month exceeds 80 percent of the design treatment capacity specified in Findings No. 2 above. The report should indicate what steps, if any, the discharger intends to take to provide for the expected wastewater treatment capacity necessary when the plant reaches design capacity.
13. The discharger shall allow the Regional Board, or an authorized representative, upon presentation of credentials and other documents as may be required by law, to:
 - a. Enter upon the premises regulated by this Board Order, or the place where records must be kept under the conditions of this Board Order;
 - b. Have access to and copy, at reasonable times, any records that shall be kept under the conditions of this Board Order;
 - c. Inspect at reasonable times any facilities, equipment (including monitoring and control equipment), practices, or operations regulated or required under this Board Order; and

- d. Sample or monitor at reasonable times, for the purpose of assuring compliance with this Board Order or as otherwise authorized by the California Water Code, any substances or parameters at this location.
14. The discharger shall comply with the following:
- a. Samples and measurements taken for the purpose of monitoring shall be representative of the monitored activity.
 - b. The discharger shall retain records of all monitoring information, including all calibration and maintenance records and all original strip chart recordings for continuous monitoring instrumentation, copies of all reports required by this Board Order, and records of all data used to complete the application for this Board Order, for a period of at least five (5) years from the date of the sample, measurement, report or application.
 - c. Records of monitoring information shall include
 - 1. The date, exact place, and time of sampling or measurements
 - 2. The individual(s) who performed the sampling or measurements.
 - 3. The date(s) analyses were performed.
 - 4. The individual(s) who performed the analyses.
 - 5. The analytical techniques or methods used; and
 - 6. The results of such analyses.
15. Prior to any change in ownership or management of this operation, the discharger shall transmit a copy of this Board Order to the succeeding owner/operator, and forward a copy of the transmittal letter to the Regional Board
16. Prior to any modifications in this facility, which would result in material change in the quality or, quantity of wastewater treated or discharged, or any material change in the location of discharge, the discharger shall report all pertinent information in writing to the Regional Board and obtain revised requirements before any modifications are implemented.
17. The discharger shall provide adequate notice to the Regional Board's Executive Officer of the following:
- a. Any new introduction of pollutants into any of the treatment facilities described in the Findings of this Board Order from an indirect discharger which would be subject to Section 301 or 306 of the Clean Water Act, if it were directly discharging the pollutants.
 - b. Any substantial change in the volume or character of pollutants being introduced into any of the treatment facilities described in the Findings of this Board Order by an existing or new source.
 - c. Any planned physical alterations or additions to the facilities described in this Board Order, or changes planned in the discharger's sludge use or disposal practice, where such alterations, additions, or changes may justify the application of Board Order conditions that are different from or absent in the existing Board Order, including notification of additional disposal sites not reported during the Board Order application process, or not reported pursuant to an approved land application plan.
18. In the event that there are storm water discharges associated with industrial activities, the discharger shall submit a Notice of Intent and/or maintain coverage under the General Storm Water

Permit.

19. Adequate measures shall be taken to assure that flood or surface drainage waters do not erode or otherwise render portions of the discharge facilities inoperable.
20. All storm water discharges from this facility must comply with the lawful requirements of municipalities, counties, drainage districts, and other local agencies, regarding discharges of storm water to storm water drain systems or other courses under their jurisdiction.
21. The discharger shall maintain a permanent log of all solids hauled away from the treatment facility for use/disposal elsewhere and shall provide a summary of the volume, type (screenings, grit, raw sludge, digested sludge), use (agricultural, composting, etc.), and the destination in accordance with the Monitoring and Reporting Program of this Board Order. The sludge that is stockpiled at the treatment facility shall be sampled and analyzed for those constituents listed in the sludge monitoring section of the Monitoring and Reporting Program of this Board Order and as required by Title 40, Code of Federal Regulations, Part 503. The results of the analyses should be submitted to the Regional Board as part of the Monitoring and Reporting Program.
22. The discharger shall submit to the Regional Board a toxicity reduction evaluation (TRE) workplan (1-2 pages) within 90 days of the effective date of this permit. This plan shall describe the steps the permittee intends to follow in the event that toxicity is detected, and should include at a minimum:
 - a. A description of the investigation and evaluation techniques that will be used to identify potential causes/sources of toxicity, effluent variability, and treatment system efficiency;
 - b. A description of the facility's method of maximizing in-house treatment efficiency and good housekeeping practices, and a list of all chemicals used in operation of the facility;
 - c. If a toxicity identification evaluation (TIE) is necessary, who will conduct it (i.e., in-house or outside consultant).
23. In addition, should the discharger request to use a translator for metals and selenium different than the USEPA conversion factor, it shall complete a translator study within two (2) years from the date of the issuance of this permit as stated in the California Toxics Policy. In the event a translator study is not completed within the specified time, the USEPA conversion factor-based effluent limitation as specified in the CTR shall be effective as a default limitation.
24. The permit shall be reopened and modified or revoked and reissued as a result of the detection of a reportable priority pollutant identified by special conditions' monitoring data, included in this permit. These special conditions in the permit may be, but are not limited to, fish tissue sampling, whole effluent toxicity tests, monitoring requirements on internal waste stream(s), and monitoring for surrogate parameters. Additional requirements may be included in the permit as a result of the special condition monitoring data.
25. This Board Order does not authorize violation of any federal, state, or local laws or regulations
26. This Board Order does not convey any property rights of any sort or any exclusive privileges, nor does it authorize any injury to private property or any invasion of personal rights, nor any infringement of federal, state, or local laws or regulations.
27. This Board Order may be modified, rescinded and reissued, for cause. The filing of a request by the discharger for a Board Order modification, rescission and reissuance, or a notification of planned

changes or anticipated noncompliance does not stay any Board Order condition. Causes for modification include the promulgation of new regulations, modification of land application plans, or modification in sludge use or disposal practices, or adoption of new regulations by the State Board or the Regional Board, including revisions to the Basin Plan.

28. The discharger shall, as required by the Executive Officer, conduct a Pollutant Minimization Program in accordance with the California Toxics Policy when there is evidence that the priority pollutant is present in the effluent above an effluent limitation and a sample result is reported as detected and not quantified and the effluent limitation is less than the reported minimum level; or a sample result is reported as not detected and the effluent limitation is less than the method detection limit.

F. Pretreatment

1. In the event that the Regional Board or its Executive Officer determines that circumstances warrant pretreatment requirements in order to prevent Interference [40 CFR 403.3(j)] with the WWTP or Pass Through [40 CFR 403.3(n)], then:
 - a. The discharger shall notify the Regional Board within 30 days after there are discharges that trigger the pretreatment requirements.
 - b. The discharger shall submit a revised Report of Waste Discharge and the pretreatment program for the Regional Board's review and approval as soon as possible but not more than one (1) year after the discharger's notification to Regional Board of pretreatment requirements.
 - c. The discharger shall enforce the federal categorical pretreatment standards on all Categorical Industrial Users (CIUs).
 - d. The discharger shall notify the CIU of its discharge effluent limits. The limits must be as stringent as the pretreatment standards contained in the applicable federal category (40 CFR Part 400-699). The discharger may develop more stringent, technology based local limit if it can show cause.
 - e. The discharger shall notify the RWQCB if the CIU violates its discharge effluent limits.
2. The discharger shall provide the Regional Board in an annual report describing the pretreatment program activities over the previous 12-month period. The report shall be transmitted to the Regional Board office no later than January 15th of each year and include:
 - a. A summary of actions taken by the discharger which ensures industrial-user compliance;
 - b. An updated list of industrial users (by SIC categories) which were issued permits, and/or enforcement orders, and a status of compliance for each user; and
 - c. The name and address of each user that received a revised discharge limit.
3. The Regional Board retains the right to take legal action against an industrial user and/or the discharger where a user fails to meet the approved applicable pretreatment standards.

Duplicate signed copies of these reports shall be submitted to the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency's Regional Administrator, and the Regional Board at the following addresses:

Regional Administrator
U. S. Environmental Protection Agency
Region 9, Attn: W-3
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105

California Regional Water Quality Control Board
Colorado River Basin Region
73-720 Fred Waring Drive, Suite 100
Palm Desert, CA 92260

I, Philip A. Gruenberg, Executive Officer, do hereby certify that the foregoing is a full, true and correct copy of an Order adopted by the Regional Water Quality Control Board, Colorado River Basin Region, on June 25, 2003.

Executive Officer